

Izetta F. Grossman

From: media@fluoridealert.org
Sent: Sunday, July 07, 2013 4:16 AM
To: Izetta F. Grossman
Cc: sbauers@phillynews.com; kursprung@thedalleschronicle.com
Subject: Fluoridation Ineffective, Harmful, Unethical, Wa\$teful, studies show

Fluoridation Opposition is Scientific, Respectable & Growing

New York – July 2013 -- More than 4,500 professionals (including 343 dentists and 538 MD's) urge that fluoridation be stopped because fluoridation is ineffective and harmful. See statement: <http://www.fluoridealert.org/researchers/professionals-statement/text/>

Most dentists are trained to use politics and not science to promote fluoridation, according to Armfield and Melbye in the *Journal of the American Dental Association*. The researchers write: "Studies of dentists' attitudes about water fluoridation suggest that a lack of knowledge and preparedness are barriers to discussing the topic ... more than one-half of the respondents believed they needed more information and training on the issue.

Armfield and Melbye postulate that: "Dentists' lack of self-efficacy with respect to critically evaluating scientific literature may help to explain their reluctance to promote water fluoridation in their clinical practices." Other studies how dentists don't keep current on new fluoride science, e.g. this research by Yoder <http://tinyurl.com/Yoder>

Dr. Yolanda Whyte, a primary care pediatrician, explains why she no longer supports water fluoridation. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6iu9HzaVbWQ>

Fluoridation is an "unacceptable risk," says Public Health Professor Niyi Awofeso (*Public Health Ethics*, August 2012). He writes, "There is insufficient ethical justification for artificial water fluoridation" because no evidence supports the assertion that artificial fluoridation reduces social disparities in cavity incidence, fluoridation's effectiveness is questionable, potential adverse effects of fluoride, such as hypothyroidism and bone fractures, have been reported in scholarly journals and fluoridation chemicals are contaminated with lead, arsenic and mercury.

In 2006, a National Research Council expert panel published a fluoride report which revealed that fluoride, even at low doses added to water supplies, can be especially harmful to the thyroid gland,

kidney patients, babies, seniors and people who drink high amounts of water. They also revealed critical fluoride safety studies have never been done and studies linking fluoride to cancer and lower IQ are plausible.

Thirty-seven human studies now link fluoride to lowered IQ, some at levels considered safe in the US. See: <http://www.fluoridealert.org/articles/iq-facts/>

After 68 years of water fluoridation, the Centers for Disease Control reports that up to 60% of 12-15 year-olds are affected with fluoride overdose symptoms – dental fluorosis, white spotted, yellow, brown and/or pitted teeth. Yet, the **CDC says More young kids face cavity crisis in US**

Tooth decay crises are occurring in all fluoridated cities and states because Americans can't afford dental care. New dental professionals are being created. New dental schools opened, private dentists' hired more staff, dental expenditures have gone up substantially dentists and tooth decay has become big business. Fluoridated toothpaste, alone is a multi-billion dollar international market.

New Hampshire passed first ever state-wide fluoride warning law that 6-month-olds should not be routinely fed infant formula mixed with fluoridated water to avoid dental fluorosis.

Emergency rooms are flooded with people in dental pain, costing taxpayers millions of dollars, because 80% of dentists refuse Medicaid patients, 130 million Americans don't have dental insurance. Many of those with insurance can't afford dentistry's high out-of-pocket costs. No American is or ever was fluoride-deficient. Too many are dentist-deficient.

The CDC reports that 225 less communities adjusted for fluoride between 2006 and 2008. About 100 US and Canadian communities rejected fluoridation since 2008; many in 2012.

Recently, both Wichita, Kansas and Portland, Oregon rejected fluoridation 60% to 40%. Hamilton, NZ, councilors voted 7-1 to stop 50 years of fluoridation after councilors listened to several days of testimony from those for and against fluoridation (June 2013) Hamilton joins 30 other regional NZ that don't fluoridate.

Windsor, Ontario, and Cairns, Australia stopped fluoridation this year. Windsor's Mayor, who has a chemistry background, explains why he voted with the majority to stop 51 years of water fluoridation in this radio interview. https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=gJcjYI_2MQY

In Nebraska, 80% of the towns voting chose to opt out of a fluoridation law (2008-2010)

Most of Western Europe and major world cities do not fluoridate the water. Yet tooth decay rates are similar.

According to 2004 NYS Department of Health studies, highly fluoridated NYS counties do not have less tooth decay than more fluoridated NYS counties. See chart:

<http://www.freewebs.com/fluoridation/chart.htm>

Since the professionals' statement was first issued, the following new studies were published:

-- Industrial-Grade Fluoridation Chemicals Cost Society Billions of Dollars in Arsenic-induced Cancers, according to "Comparison of hydrofluorosilicic acid and pharmaceutical sodium fluoride as fluoridating agents—A cost-benefit analysis." *Environmental Science & Policy* (2013) <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1462901113000087>

-- "Some infant foods/drinks, when reconstituted with fluoridated water, may result in a F intake in infants above the suggested optimum and therefore may put infants at risk of developing dental fluorosis," *Community Dentistry & Oral Epidemiology* (4/2012). (30)

-- "For children's dental health measures, it was found that fluoridation rates were not significantly related to the measures of either caries or overall condition of the teeth for urban or rural areas." (West Virginia University Rural Health Research Center, 2012) (29)

-- All baby foods contain fluoride, according to research presented at the 2012 annual meeting of the International Association of Dental Research. (28)

-- A *Journal of the American Dental Association* study (Jan 2012) reports that emergency treatment for severe tooth decay in New York State tots, younger than six-years-old, has gone up substantially in numbers and costs between 2004 through 2008. Seventy-five percent of the tots decay was so extensive, it required general anesthesia to treat. This despite decades of water fluoridation reaching 72% of NYS's population on public water supplies.

-- Colgate reports, studies confirm "the association between infant formula consumption and permanent dentition fluorosis." (27)

-- The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) reports that 91% of rural Alaskan Native adolescents have cavities whether their water is fluoridated or not. (26)

-- "The prolonged ingestion of fluoride may cause significant damage to health and particularly to the nervous system," concludes a review of studies by researchers Valdez-Jimenez, et al. published in *Neurologia* (June 2011). The research team reports, "It is important to be aware of this serious problem and avoid the use of toothpaste and items that contain fluoride, particularly in children as they are more susceptible to the toxic effects of fluoride"

-- Commonly-consumed infant fruit juices contain fluoride, some at levels higher than recommended for public water supplies, according to research was presented 3/17/2011 at the International Association for Dental Research annual meeting in San Diego (23)

-- Water fluoride chemicals boosts lead absorption in lab animals' bones, teeth and blood, was reported by Sawan, et al. (*Toxicology* 2/2010). Earlier studies already show children's blood-lead-levels are higher in fluoridated communities, reports Sawan's research team.

-- State University of New York researchers found more premature births in fluoridated than non-fluoridated upstate New York communities, according to a presentation made at the American Public Health Association's annual meeting on November 9, 2009 in Philadelphia.

-- Researchers reported in the Oct 6 2007 *British Medical Journal* that fluoridation never was proven safe or effective and may be unethical. (4)

-- *Scientific American* editors wrote in January 2008, "Some recent studies suggest that over-consumption of fluoride can raise the risks of disorders affecting teeth, bones, the brain and the thyroid gland"

-- A study in the Fall 2008 *Journal of Public Health Dentistry* reveals that cavity-free teeth have little to do with fluoride intake. Researchers report, "The benefits of fluoride are mostly topical...while fluorosis is clearly more dependent on fluoride intake."

-- Research published in Biological Trace Element Research (April 2009). indicates that blood fluoride levels were significantly higher in patients with osteosarcoma than in control groups. (13)
Osteosarcoma, a rare bone cancer, occurs mostly in children and young adults

-- All infant formula, whether concentrated or not, contain fluoride at levels that can discolor teeth - even organic, according to research published in the October 2009 Journal of the American Dental Association. (16)

-- Fluoride avoidance reduced anemia in pregnant women, decreased pre-term births and enhanced babies birth-weight, concludes leading fluoride expert, AK Susheela and colleagues, in a study published in Current Science (May 2010).
<http://www.fluorideandfluorosis.com/Anemia/Current%20Science%20Reprint.pdf>

The science showing fluoride's adverse effects caused the following:

-- The Portland chapter of the NAACP opposes fluoridation (2013)

-- At least Four New Jersey County legislatures passed resolutions opposing NJ's proposed fluoridation mandate bill (2012)

-- Prominent Hispanic Civil Rights Organization (League of United Latin American Citizens) votes to oppose fluoridation as a civil rights violation. (July 2011) (25)

-- Water Fluoridation Injury Lawsuit Filed in Federal Court -

A 13-year-old's fluoride-discolored teeth was allegedly caused by drinking fluoridated bottled water since infancy. Her Mom is suing the bottlers for the cost to cover up the unsightly teeth. See:
<http://www.nidellaw.com/blog/?p=66>

-- Because fluoride can disproportionately harm poor citizens and black families, Atlanta civil rights leaders, former UN Ambassador Andrew Young and Reverend Gerald Durley, PhD have asked Georgia legislators to repeal the state's mandatory water fluoridation law, April 2011. (24) They have recently been joined by Martin Luther Kings's daughters Bernice and Alveda

-- HHS Assistant Secretary for Health, Dr. Howard Koh, says all infant formulas, either concentrated or ready-to-feed, already contain some fluoride and, when routinely mixed with fluoridated water, increase the risk of dental fluorosis (discolored teeth), in a video commentary published on Medscape.com, March 8, 2011

-- A bipartisan group of Tennessee legislators urge the TN Health Department to stop promoting fluoridation. (Feb 14, 2011) (22)

-- US Department of Health and Human Services recommends lowering water fluoride levels to stem to rising rates of dental fluorosis from all sources. (January 2011)

--- The Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Water will lower safe water fluoride levels because of concerns that fluoride adversely affects bones and teeth. (January 2011)

-- The Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Pesticides proposes to ban fumigant sulfuryl fluoride because of the concern of harmful levels of fluoride residues on foods such as cocoa beans. (Jan 2011)

-- On April 12, 2010, Time magazine listed fluoride as one of the "Top Ten Common Household Toxins" and described fluoride as both "neurotoxic and potentially tumorigenic if swallowed."

-- The National Kidney Foundation dropped its fluoridation support replacing it with this caution: "Individuals with CKD [Chronic Kidney Disease] should be notified of the potential risk of fluoride exposure." (3)

-- Dr. A. K. Susheela, a leading fluoride expert, explains in a video why US physicians overlook fluoride as a possible cause of diseases commonly caused by fluoride. <http://tinyurl.com/Susheela>

-- A Tennessee State legislator, Joey Hensley, who is also an MD is urging all Tennessee Water Districts to stop fluoridation, reported a Tennessee newspaper on 11/29/08. At least 30 Tennessee water districts have already complied with his request.(6)

--- A video was created explaining the Bizarre origination of fluoridation chemicals.
<http://naturalnews.tv/v.asp?v=42652E035A1B1BAAAE1F340B54694975>

Signers to the FAN statement include:

- Arvid Carlsson, Nobel Laureate for Physiology or Medicine, 2000
- Vyvyan Howard, MD, PhD, Immediate Past President, International Society of Doctors for the Environment (ISDE)
- Ingrid Eckerman, MD, MPH, President, Swedish Doctors for the Environment (LFM), Stockholm, Sweden
- Raul Montenegro, PhD, Right Livelihood Award 2004 (known as the Alternative Nobel Prize), President of FUNAM, Professor of Evolutionary Biology, National University of Cordoba, Argentina
- The current President and six past Presidents of the International Academy of Oral Medicine and Toxicology
- Three scientists from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Headquarters Union in Washington D.C.
- * William Marcus, PhD, Former chief toxicologist of the EPA Water Division, Boyds, MD
- Three members of the National Research Council committee who wrote the landmark 2006 report: Fluoride in Drinking Water: A Scientific Review of EPA's Standards (Hardy Limeback, PhD, DDS; Robert L. Isaacson, PhD; Kathleen M. Thiessen, PhD)
- The Board of Directors, American Academy of Environmental Medicine
- Two advisory board members of the UK government sponsored "York Review"
- Andy Harris, MD, former national president, Physicians for Social Responsibility, Salem, OR
- Theo Colborn, PhD, co-author, Our Stolen Future
- Lynn Margulis, PhD, a recipient of the National Medal of Science
- Ken Cook and Richard Wiles, President and Executive Director, Environmental Working Group (EWG)
- Magda Aelvoet, MD, Former Minister of Public Health, Leuven, BELGIUM
- Doug Everingham, former Federal Health Minister (1972-75), Australia
- Peter Montague, PhD, Director of Environmental Health Foundation
- Ted Schettler, MD, Science Director, Science and Environmental Health Network
- Stephen Lester, Science Director, Center for Health, Environment, and Justice
- Lois Gibbs, Executive Director, Center for Health, Environment, and Justice, Goldman Prize Winner (1990), Falls Church, VA
- FIVE Goldman Prize winners (2006, 2003, 1997, 1995, 1990)
- Sam Epstein, MD, author, "Politics of Cancer" and Chairman, Cancer Prevention Coalition
- Joseph Mercola, Doctor of Osteopathic Medicine, , Chicago, IL
- Michael W. Fox, DSc, PhD, BVM, MRCVS (former vice president of The Humane Society of the US, former vice president of Humane Society International and the author of more than 40 adult and children's books on animal care, animal behavior and bioethics), Minneapolis, MN
- Environmental leaders from over 30 countries

Nobel Prize winner in Medicine, Dr. Arvid Carlsson, says, "Fluoridation is against all principles of modern pharmacology. It's really obsolete."

Fluoride jeopardizes health - even at low levels deliberately added to public water supplies, according to data presented in a 2006 National Academy of Sciences' (NAS) National Research Council (NRC) report. Fluoride poses risks to the thyroid gland, diabetics, kidney patients, high water drinkers and others and can severely damage children's teeth. (11) At least three panel members advise avoiding fluoridated water.

"The NRC fluoride report dramatically changed scientific understanding of fluoride's health risks," says Paul Connett, PhD, Executive Director, Fluoride Action Network. "Government officials who continue to promote fluoridation must testify under oath as to why they are ignoring the powerful evidence of harm in the NRC report," he added.

This and other little-known adverse fluoride health effects led Connett to co-author, "The Case Against Fluoride: How Hazardous Waste Ended Up in Our Drinking Water and the Bad Science and Powerful Politics that Keep it There," with James Beck, MD, PhD, professor emeritus of medical physics, University of Alberta and Spedding Micklem, DPhil, professor emeritus at Edinburgh University.(20)

The Professionals' Statement also references:

- The new American Dental Association policy recommending infant formula NOT be prepared with fluoridated water.
- The CDC's concession that the predominant benefit of fluoride is topical not systemic.
- Major research indicating little difference in decay rates between fluoridated and non-fluoridated communities.
- A Harvard study indicating a possible link between fluoridation and bone cancer.

The Environmental Working Group (EWG), a DC watchdog, revealed that a Harvard professor concealed the fluoridation/bone cancer connection for three years. EWG President Ken Cook states, "It is time for the US to recognize that fluoridation has serious risks that far outweigh any minor benefits, and unlike many other environmental issues, it's as easy to end as turning off a valve at the water plant."

END

Contact:

FAN Executive Director, Paul Connett, PhD, pconnett@gmail.com co-author of “The Case Against Fluoride” 607-217-5350

Bill Osmunson, DDS, MPH, Fluoride Action Network Spokesperson

bill@teachingsmiles.com Office 503.675.7300 Cell 425.466.0100 (Pacific Time)

References:

a) http://www.bouldercolorado.gov/files/Utilities/WRAB/5%2021%2007/wrab_agenda_memo_1.pdf

b) Press Release August 19, 2005, “EPA Unions Call for Nationwide Moratorium on Fluoridation”

<http://www.nteu280.org/Issues/Fluoride/Press%20Release.%20Fluoride.htm>

YouTube Video of EPA Union representative Dr. Hirzy's Congressional Presentation

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T2m_LVHD0c8

c) <http://www2.fluoridealert.org/Alert/United-States/Massachusetts/Amesbury-Fluoride-concerns-are-worth-probing>

(1) <http://www.fluoridealert.org/communities.htm>

(1a) <http://www.scribd.com/doc/18235930/NYC-Fluoridation-Costs-2008-Feb-2-2009-Letter-Page-1>

(1b) http://www.council.nyc.gov/html/budget/PDFs/fy_10_exec_budget_dept_enviro_protection.pdf

(2) <http://www.fluoridealert.org/cape.html>

(3) National Kidney Foundation, “Fluoride Intake in Chronic Kidney Disease,” April 15, 2008

[http://www.kidney.org/atoz/pdf/Fluoride Intake in CKD.pdf](http://www.kidney.org/atoz/pdf/Fluoride_Intake_in_CKD.pdf)

(4) "Adding fluoride to water supplies," British Medical Journal, KK Cheng, Iain Chalmers, Trevor A. Sheldon, October 6, 2007

(5) http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18695947?ordinalpos=2&itool=EntrezSystem2.PEntrez.Pubmed.Pubmed_ResultsPanel.Pubmed_DefaultReportPanel.Pubmed_RVDocSum

(6) <http://www.fluoridealert.org/press/hensley.html>

(7) <http://www.swabvt.org/node/300>

(8) <http://www.draytonvalleywesternreview.com/ArticleDisplay.aspx?e=1373584>

(9) http://www.goskagit.com/home/article/commissioners_vote_to_halt_fluoride_program/

(10) <http://www.burlingtonfreepress.com/article/20090303/NEWS0301/90303100/1009/NEWS01>

(11) National Research Council (2003-2006): Fluoride in Drinking Water: A Scientific Review of EPA's Standards

<http://www.fluoridealert.org/health/epa/nrc/>

(12) "State oral health director challenged over comments about fluoridation,"

March 10, 2009, by Kathryn Lucariello, Carroll County News

<http://www.carrollconews.com/story/1509198.html>

(13) Biological Trace Element Research, "Serum Fluoride and Sialic Acid Levels in Osteosarcoma," by Sandhu R, Lal H, Kundu ZS, Kharb S, Apr 24, 2009 [Epub ahead of print]

(14) <http://www.glu.org/sites/default/files/Resolution-regarding-artificial-water-fluoridation.pdf>

(15) "The Association Between Enamel Fluorosis and Dental Caries in U.S. Schoolchildren," Kumar & Iida Journal of the American Dental Association, July 2009 (Table 1)

(16) "Assessing a potential risk factor for enamel fluorosis: a preliminary evaluation of fluoride content in infant formulas," Journal of the American Dental Association October 2009

(17) <http://www.themorningsun.com/articles/2010/05/25/news/srv0000008357644.txt>

(18) http://www.crowleytoday.com/view/full_story/8751683/article-Crowley-City-Council-suspends-fluoridation?instance=home_news_lead

(19) Sandpoint drops fluoride from water
Posted: Saturday, Jul 24, 2010 -

By RALPH

BARTHOLDT <http://www.bonnercountydailybee.com/articles/2010/07/24/news/doc4c4a859e7db98549932208.txt>

(20) Digital Press Kit: <http://media.chelseagreen.com/The-Case-Against-Fluoride>

(21) "Water Fluoridation Meets Its Waterloo," The National Post, November 16, 2010

<http://www.nationalpost.com/news/Water+fluoridation+meets+Waterloo/3839306/story.html>

(22)

(TV Video) Critics want stop to government promotion of water fluoridation

<http://www.wate.com/Global/story.asp?S=14028574>

(23) "Fluoride Level in Commonly Consumed Infant Juices"

<http://iadr.confex.com/iadr/2011sandiego/webprogram/Paper147544.html>

(24) <http://fluoridealert.org/press.release.4-14-11.html>

(25)

http://lulac.org/advocacy/resolutions/2011/resolution_Civil_Rights_Violation_Regarding_Forced_Medication/

(26) CDC, MMWR, "Dental Caries in Rural Alaska Native Children --- Alaska, 2008," September 23, 2011

http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6037a2.htm?s_cid=mm6037a2_x

(27) The Colgate Oral Health Report, "Potential Fluorosis from Fluoride Intake During Infancy and Early Childhood" Volume 21, Number 2, 2011

<https://secure.colgateprofessional.com/app/cop/repository/article-651/frameset.jsp?middle=ocrindex.html>

(28) <http://iadr.confex.com/iadr/2012tampa/webprogram/Paper157536.html>

(29) http://wvrhrc.hsc.wvu.edu/docs/2011_fluoridation_policy_brief.pdf

(30) <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1600-0528.2012.00688.x/abstract>

SOURCE: Fluoride Action Network <http://www.FluorideAction.Net>



Stephen Lawrence, Mayor
c/o City of The Dalles, City Hall
313 Court Street
The Dalles, OR 97058

July 8, 2013

Mayor Lawrence,

The Oregon Medical Association is concerned about the upcoming City Council discussion about ending water fluoridation in The Dalles. The Oregon Medical Association supports optimally fluoridated water as a safe and effective public health strategy to prevent dental caries and tooth decay, for the whole community.

Oregon's tooth decay rate among children is dismal; we continue to rank among the bottom states for overall childhood oral health. The 2007 Oregon Smiles Survey found that untreated dental decay is the most common childhood disease; dental decay increased by 49% from 2002 to 2007. For both children and adults, oral health issues lead to lost school and work days, expensive emergency room visits, chronic diseases such as diabetes and decrease an individual's overall health.

In The Dalles, where water fluoridation has been in effect for many years, removing the fluoride from the water impacts your whole community. Optimally fluoridated water benefits all members of a community, regardless of their socioeconomic status, race, gender or age. By removing fluoride from the water, The Dalles can expect to see an increase in the number of residents with untreated dental decay, especially for those families with low incomes and people of color. Dental decay is preventable and fluoride is a cost-effective solution to the pain, suffering and costs associated with cavities.

Fluoridated water has been hailed as one of the top ten "greatest health achievements of the 20th century" (Centers for Disease Prevention and Control). As a community with fluoridation, The Dalles has achieved better health for all its residents. Our members in your community ask that you consider the great achievement of fluoridation and don't place the health of your residents at risk by eliminating fluoride.

The OMA urges you to support continuing water fluoridation in your community as a preventative measure that supports a healthy communities, healthy kids and educational and workforce success.

Sincerely,

Frances Biagioli, MD
President

The Oregon Medical Association is an organization of over 8,100 physicians, physician assistants, and medical students organized to serve and support physicians in their efforts to improve the health of Oregonians. Additional information can be found at www.theOMA.org.

Izetta F. Grossman

From: Donna Westfall <donnawestfall@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 07, 2013 8:03 PM
To: Nolan Young
Subject: RE: Harm of Water Fluoridation

As a former city councilwoman, I was instrumental in investigating my constituents complaints about the harmfulness of water fluoridation. As a result I helped in getting an initiative to the ballot and **we successfully voted in a moratorium on Nov 6, '12 to turn off the toxic industrial waste fluoride** (HYDROFLUSOLICIC ACID OR HFSA) because our supplier UNIVAR, (formerly Basic Chemical Solutions) would not provide three things:

- 1.) Toxicological report
- 2.) Listing of contaminants
- 3.) Proof that their product was safe for all water consumers, infants to seniors.

This absurd practice of adding HFSA is based more on politics than science. Why should a water department be given the power to medicate anyone when they don't take a health history, they don't pass out a listing of side effects or monitor the dose. This is tantamount to gross negligence. Water consumers started to send in their payment UNDER PROTEST so that when the class action lawsuits begin they would be covered.

After adding water fluoridation for 44 years, our town had 70% cavities in our childrens' teeth,(this figure was quoted from Dr. Susan Wellman, dentist who is pro-fluoride) high obesity rates and low test scores. Hardly an endorsement for continuing this unethical practice. We were also ranked 34th in the nation for **cancer** while Brookings, Oregon..... just 25 minutes north of us.... was ranked 765th in the nation for cancer for the same time period and Bookings never fluoridated their water.

We have high rates of not only cancer, but thyroid, diabetes and kidney disease all with links to HFSA. One constituent ended up in ER with seizures after showering for 10 minutes. Her doctor has subsequently written a letter describing her allergic reaction to water fluoridation. She was on a medication which contained fluoride and that in combination with absorbing this poison transdermally by showering put her in the Emergency Room.

New Hampshire Governor Lynch signed into law on Aug 4, 2012 infant warnings concerning mixing fluoridated water with formula because of the high prevalence of fluorosis. Fluorosis is the first visible sign of fluoride poisoning. Our Environmental Protection Agency announced in early 2011 that 41% of our nations teenagers had fluorosis and recommended cutting the parts per million down to .7. Our city council reduced the ppm from 1.2 to .7. That was a step in the right direction but not nearly enough because our EPA is doing nothing to really test or protect the public from this toxic industrial waste product. The only safe level when considering the addition of HFSA to drinking/bathing water is ZERO.

The State of Kansas, has successfully entered House Bill 2372 on January 18, 2013 listing the harm of water fluoridation. If passed, Kansas will become the first fluoride-free state in the United States.

If you happen to call the **NSF** (which is not a government agency) and ask them questions about the testing they do on HFSA, they will tell you that they **won't provide that information because of non-disclosure agreements**. If you call the manufacturer, distributor or supplier, they will not provide information about the 3 requested docs listed in my opening paragraph above as I already did that back in 2011. I sent out over 40 letters and got zero responses.

I predict that water fluoridation will become one of the biggest frauds and scams ever perpetrated against the public in the 20th and 21st century. That phrase often quoted by the CDC as being one of the best things since sliced bread was made up by a Public Relations guy. It's time to stop quoting that drivel. Water fluoridation will be compared to Tobacco science, DDT science, Asbestos science, Thalidomide science, etc.

It is my opinion based on the research I've done which has been extensive these last 5 years, that it's all about the money. Some brilliant schemers came up with this idea to get rid of toxic industrial waste by saying it was good for children's teeth. That's a bunch of baloney. It's good for the bank accounts of the producers of HFSA **because if they had to get rid of their toxic industrial waste legally, it would cost them hundreds of millions of dollars.** Instead they dupe the politicians with fraudulent science and endorsements which is not science and sell them a product in which

humans are utilized to filter this poison through their bodies while 99% goes down the drain. The dumb politicians buy it hook, line and sinker and shut their brains down. They become incapable of independent thought as I witnessed first hand with my fellow council members and other councils I've visited. But the HFSA manufacturers, suppliers and distributors are laughing all the way to the bank because they've got these municipalities paying them for poison. Plus, I checked out our retirement accounts and lo and behold, the MOSAIC company is one of the funds city employees can invest their retirement money in. Again, as I said, brilliant scheme from start to finish. I just hope to see the day that some of them end up in prison.

But here's the part they don't tell you. In 2011, our city finished a \$43.8 million upgrade/expansion on our waste water treatment plant with state-of-the-art technology that utilizes MBR or Membrane Bio-Reactor technology. When I asked our Public Works Director if this gets the fluoride out of the water, he replied, "NO." So this poison is going into our Pacific Ocean.

Poison the public. Poison the oceans. Poison the food and beverages. Because of that, we are all already over-fluoridated.

Please do your homework.

Respectfully,
Donna A. Westfall
937 J St.
Crescent City, Calif. 95531
(707) 951-3650



Izetta F. Grossman

From: Maureen Jones <maureenj@pacbell.net>
Sent: Sunday, July 07, 2013 12:06 PM
To: Nolan Young
Subject: Fluoridation: Comparative Toxicity of F Compounds.

Dear City Manager Young of The Dalles, OR,

Fluoridation proponents always ignore the vast differences in toxicity between the fluoridation compounds derived from rock phosphate versus naturally occurring calcium fluoride (i.e., fluorspar). I call this tactic, product substitution.

Fluoridation began in 1945 using three fluoride compounds from rock phosphate. Unlike fluorspar, **rock phosphate contains up to 300 ppm uranium.**

I submit to you here, the 1935 government research on the comparative toxicity of various fluoride compounds when given to animals and livestock. Note especially, # 1 and #15!

Sincerely,

Maureen Jones, Archivist 19 years
Citizens for Safe Drinking Water - www.Keepers-of-the-Well.org
Fluoride Action Network - www.FluorideAlert.org
1205 Sierra Ave.
San Jose, CA 95126
408 297-8487

Kick, CH et al. "Fluorine in Animal Nutrition". Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station, Wooster, Ohio. *Bulletin* 558. November 1935.

Summary: pages 73 & 74.

1. **The toxicity of fluorine varied with the form of fluorine fed.** Sodium fluoride was much more toxic to pigs, rats, and chicks than calcium fluoride when these two salts were fed at comparable fluorine levels. Rock phosphate, phosphatic limestone, and treble superphosphate occupied intermediate positions in this respect.
2. Rations containing excessive amounts of available fluorine reduced the growth and the feed consumption of pigs and chicks and definitely increased the feed requirement per unit of gain for the pig.
3. When the rations of pigs contained more than 0.029 per cent of fluorine as sodium fluoride or more than 0.033 per cent as rock phosphate, the bones were characterized by increased thickness, loss of normal color and luster, presence of exostoses, and a decreased breaking strength.
4. The weakened, thickened bones resulting from fluorine feeding contained normal percentages of ash, calcium, and phosphorus, increased amounts of magnesium and fluorine, and decreased percentages of carbonates. These changes were directly correlated with increased amounts of fluorine in the ration.
5. The inclusion of fluorine in the ration of the pig increased the thickness of the walls of the femurs but not of the mandibles. The increased thickness of the mandibles was due to an increase in the size of the medullary

spaces. A change also occurred in the type of marrow present.

6. High-fluorine rations increased the width of the dental arch in pigs but not in rats.

7. The inclusion of excessive amounts of fluorine in the rations of pigs and rats caused hypoplasia of the enamel of the teeth. In pigs, the feeding of such rations over long periods of time caused the teeth to become so soft that they were worn down until in some cases the pulp cavities were exposed. The incisors of the rat became white in color and some were elongated with the occluding incisor worn down or broken off. These changes were accompanied by hypoplasia of the enamel. The dentin was similarly affected to a less degree.

8. The percentages of ash, calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, and carbon dioxide in the teeth were unaffected by the fluorine content of the ration but the percentage of fluorine was increased in direct proportion to the amount of this element present in the ration.

9. High levels of fluorine in the ration exerted no direct effect upon reproduction in rats or pigs but adversely affected lactation through decreased feed consumption.

10. Rations containing large amounts of fluorine caused an increased water consumption and a diuresis in pigs.

11. Sodium fluoride, calcium fluoride, and phosphatic limestone had no evident effect on the livers, kidneys, spleens, thyroids, or parathyroids of rats or on the livers or kidneys of pigs.

12. The addition of 1 per cent or more of rock phosphate to the ration of pigs caused a degeneration of the epithelium of the convoluted tubules and a fibrosis of the kidney. This did not occur in the case of the rat.

13. The feeding of sodium fluoride at levels of 0.05 per cent of the ration caused a retardation in the rate of growth of the rat but had no effect on the percentage of bone ash at maturity.

14. When fluorine as rock phosphate or sodium fluoride was fed at levels of 0.071 per cent, a retardation occurred in the calcification of the bones of rats at 5 and 10 weeks of age.

15. **The availability of fluorine varied with the form in which the fluorine was fed.** Approximately 30 per cent of the fluorine ingested in the form of rock phosphate, sodium silicofluoride and sodium fluoride was retained in the body by the rat while none of the fluorine in the form of calcium fluoride was retained.

16. The effect of fluorine feeding on blood coagulability varied with the species. High-fluorine rations increased the rate of coagulation in the case of the chick and decreased it in the case of the rat.

17. For practical feeding purposes, rock phosphate may be fed to pigs at 0.5 per cent of the ration and to chicks at 2 per cent of the ration for short periods without danger of fluorine toxicity. If the animals are to be maintained on the ration for long periods of time (12 months or longer), the use of smaller amounts is recommended.

Bolded emphasis - MJ

Izetta F. Grossman

From: Maureen Jones <maureenj@pacbell.net>
Sent: Sunday, July 07, 2013 11:25 AM
To: Nolan Young
Subject: Fluoridation, National Security, and Sippy Cups.

Dear City Manager Young of The Dalles,

Fluoride made the 1945 atomic bomb possible because fluoride is what enriches uranium. It is uranium-hexafluoride that, when heated to a gas, separates the uranium isotope 235 from 238 and then can enrich isotope 235 to a 90% bomb-grade level.

1995 declassified letters are available at: Deepwater New Jersey Lawsuit. "Visit" the spring 1946 meetings of the Manhattan Project's top military with Dupont's attorneys and the FDA wherein they determined they must create a strategy to solve the growing litigation problems that the military feared could end the bomb project.

An 8-page story, commissioned in 1997 by the Christian Science Monitor and based on the 1995 declassified documents, is available at: Fluoride, Teeth and The Atomic Bomb. One can see that water fluoridation, launched in 1945, was born of national security urgency at the highest level.

The University of California system has been the sole manager of Los Alamos National Laboratory since 1942, beginning with the Manhattan project. Currently, the American Dental Association's top spokesperson for water fluoridation, Dr. Howard Pollick, has long been employed at UC San Francisco.

Also, the net film, Fluoridegate, is well worth watching.

Baby Bottle Tooth Decay aka Early Childhood Caries:

After decades of fruitlessly promoting water fluoridation for the sake of disadvantaged children, the University of California San Francisco School of Dentistry announced on December 18, 2008 they had received a record \$24.4 million from the National Institutes of Health to figure out how to fight early childhood caries, also known as "baby bottle tooth decay" or "nursing caries".

Published Dental Literature Has Long Noted Fluoridation's Failure:

1) Auge, K. Denver Post Medical Writer. Doctors donate services to restore little girl's smile. The Denver Post, April 13, 2004. (**Note: Denver, CO has been fluoridated since 1954.**)

"Sippy cups are the worst invention in history. The problem is parents' propensity to let toddlers bed down with the cups, filled with juice or milk. The result is a sort of **sleep-over party for mouth bacteria.**" said pediatric dentist Dr. Barbara Hymer as she applied \$5,000 worth of silver caps onto a 6-year-old with decayed upper teeth. Dr. Brad Smith, a Denver pediatric dentist estimates that his practice treats up to 300 cases a year of what dentists call Early Childhood Caries. Last year, Children's Hospital did 2,100 dental surgeries, many of which stemmed from the condition, Smith said, and it is especially pervasive among children in poor families.

2) Shiboski CH et al. The Association of Early Childhood Caries and Race/Ethnicity Among **California Preschool Children**. *J Pub Health Dent*; Vol 63, No 1, Winter 2003.

Among 2,520 children, the largest proportion with a history of falling asleep sipping milk/sweet substance was among Latinos/Hispanics (72% among Head Start and 65% among non-HS) and HS Asians (56%). Regarding the 30% and 33% resultant decay rates respectively; **Our analysis did not appear to be affected by whether or not children lived in an area with fluoridated water.**

3) California Department of Health Services, Maternal and Child Health Branch, 1995; *Our Children's Teeth: Beyond Brushing and Braces.*

33% of Head Start children and 13% of non-Head Start preschool children had Early Childhood Caries/Baby Bottle Tooth Decay (BBTD).

1) **In non-fluoridated urban regions, 40%** of Hispanic preschool children had BBTD.

2) **In fluoridated urban regions, 45%** of Asian Head Start preschool children had BBTD.

4) Allukian, M. Symposium Oral Disease: The Neglected Epidemic - What Can Be

Done? Introduction: *Journal of Public Health Dentistry*, Vol. 53, No 1, Winter 1993. "Oral Disease is still a neglected epidemic **in our country**, despite improvements in oral health due to fluoridation, other forms of fluorides, and better access to dental care. Consider the following: **50 percent of Head Start children have had baby bottle tooth decay.**" (Bullet #5 of 8.)

5) Barnes GP et al. Ethnicity, Location, Age, and Fluoridation Factors in Baby Bottle Tooth Decay and Caries Prevalence of Head Start Children. *Public Health Reports*; 107: 167-73, 1992.

By either of the two criterion i.e., two of the four maxillary incisors or three of the four maxillary incisors, the rate for 5-year-olds was significantly higher than for 3-year-olds. **Children attending centers showed no significant differences based on fluoride status for the total sample or other variables.**

6) Kelly M et al. The Prevalence of Baby Bottle Tooth Decay Among Two Native American Populations. *J Pub Health Dent*; 47:94-97, 1987.

The prevalence of BBTD in the 18 communities of Head Start children ranged from 17 to 85 percent with a mean of 53%. The surveyed communities had a mixture of fluoridated and non fluoridated drinking water sources. **Regardless of water fluoridation, the prevalence of BBTD remained high at all of the sites surveyed.**

7) Watson MR et al. Caries conditions among 2-5-year-old immigrant Latino children related to parents' oral health knowledge, opinions and practices. *Community Dent Oral Epid*; 27: 8-15, 1999.

The finding of 47% of the children having experienced dental caries in their primary teeth does not differ greatly with other studies of low socioeconomic status and racial ethnic groups. **(Washington D.C. has been fluoridated since 1952.)**

8) Weinstein P et al. Mexican-American parents with children at risk for baby bottle tooth decay: Pilot study at a migrant farmworkers clinic. *J Dent for Children*; 376-83, Sept-Oct, 1992.

Overall, 37 of the 125 children (29.6 percent) were found to have BBTD. **Compliance in putting fluoride drops in bottle once a day was identical between BBTD and non BBTD groups.**

9) Bruerd B et al. Preventing Baby Bottle Tooth Decay: Eight-Year Results. *Public Health Reports*; 111; 63-65, 1996.

In 1986, a program to prevent BBTD was implemented in 12 Head Start centers in 10 states. In three years BBTD decreased from 57% to 43%. **Funding was discontinued** in 1990.

10) Von Burg MM et al. Baby Bottle Tooth Decay: A Concern for All Mothers. *Pediatric Nursing*; 21:515-

519, 1995.

"Data from Head Start surveys show the prevalence of baby bottle tooth decay is about three times the national average among poor urban children, **even in communities with a fluoridated water supply.**"

11) Blen M et al. Dental caries in children under age three attending a university clinic. *Pediatric Dentistry*; 21:261-64, 1999.

Of 369 children who attended the University of Texas-Houston Health Center (**Houston is fluoridated**), **56%** between 2 and 3 years old had decay. Among the 3 year olds, **46%** had more than three decayed teeth. **The children without decay were weaned from the bottle at an average age of 10 months. Those with severe decay were weaned at 16.9 months.**

12) Kong D. City to launch battle against dental 'crisis'. *Boston Globe*, Nov. 27, 1999.

18% of children 4 years old and younger seen in the pediatric program at Tufts University School of Dental Medicine in 1995 had baby bottle tooth decay. Treatment can cost up to \$4,000 per child. **Boston was fluoridated in 1978.**

13) Thakib AA et al. Primary incisor decay before age 4 as a risk factor for future dental caries. *Pediatric Dentistry*; 19:37-41, 1997.

In summary, initial primary incisor caries is a risk factor for developing future carious, extracted, and restored teeth.

14) Duperon DE. Early Childhood Caries: A Continuing Dilemma. *CA Dent Assoc J*; 23: 15-25, 1995.

The primary precipitating factor for **this 100 year old problem is prolonged use of the bottle or breast past 9 to 12 months of age.** North American Indians have reported an incidence of 53 percent, Inuit (Eskimo) children have shown a 60%-65% incidence and Mexican American migrant farm workers, 30%.

Pit and Fissure Tooth Decay

"Fluoride primarily protects the smooth surfaces of teeth, and sealants protect the pits and fissures (grooves), mainly on the chewing surfaces of the back teeth. Although pit and fissure tooth surfaces only comprise about 15% of all permanent tooth surfaces, they were the site of 83% of tooth decay in U.S. children in 1986-87."

Selected Findings and Recommendations from the 1993/94 California Oral Health Needs Assessment.

"Because the surface-specific analysis was used, we learned that almost 90 percent of the remaining decay is found in the pits and fissures (chewing surfaces) of children's teeth; those surfaces that are not as affected by the protective benefit of fluoride."

Letter, August 8, 2000, from Jeffrey P. Koplan, M.D., M.P.H., CDC Atlanta GA.

"Nearly 90 percent of cavities in school children occur in the surfaces of teeth with vulnerable pits and grooves, where fluoride is least effective."

Facts From National Institute of Dental Research. Marshall Independent Marshall, MN, 5/92.

The Deception

Fluoridation has historically been "sold" to politicians and civic leaders by using photos of rampant Baby

Bottle/Sippy Cup Tooth Decay (BBTD), a highly visible decay of the upper front teeth. The cause of the decay is high levels of strep mutan bacteria. Fluoridated water at 1 ppm does not kill this bacteria that, 1) colonize on tooth surfaces, 2) thrive and multiply on sugars, and 3) pass their acidic waste onto the dental enamel causing the damage we call tooth decay.

50 percent of U.S. Head Start children have Baby Bottle/Sippy Cup tooth decay from high levels of strep mutans bacteria. A steady source of sugar is supplied to the bacteria by sipping fluids rather than drinking fluids from a cup. The bacteria's acidic waste first ravages the primary teeth and then continues on to decay the permanent teeth.

In January 2000, Dr. Kathleen Thiessen, Senior Risk Assessment Scientist at SENES Oak Ridge Inc. Center for Risk Analysis, reviewed the 1993-94 California Oral Health Needs Assessment for the City of Escondido (Keepers-of-the-Well.org, #17 Effectiveness) and stated in her critique:

- 1) For preschool children, § any evaluation of the effectiveness of various measures (fluoridation) must control for the occurrence of BBTD and,
- 2) Any study of the effectiveness of a particular measure (fluoridation) in preventing dental caries must control for the presence of dental sealants, or the results will be meaningless. and,
- 3) In addition, if children with BBTD are thought to be more prone to developing caries in permanent teeth, then history of BBTD vs. caries incidence should be examined for both preschool *and* elementary children.

The dental literature is clear that elementary school children with a history of BBTD are indeed more prone to decay in permanent teeth. Therefore, controlling or adjusting for history of BBTD in elementary school children *should* be the norm but is *never done!* By *not* adjusting for BBTD history and sealants, dental studies of elementary school children can claim a (false) fluoridation benefit!

Maureen Jones, Archivist for 19 years
Citizens for Safe Drinking Water - www.Keepers-of-the-Well.org
Fluoride Action Network - www.FluorideAlert.org
1205 Sierra Ave.
San Jose, CA 95126
408 297-8487

Izetta F. Grossman

From: Carol S. Kopf <ckopf2@optonline.net>
Sent: Sunday, July 07, 2013 6:57 AM
To: Nolan Young; Izetta F. Grossman; Julie Krueger
Cc: ebarajasroman@pewtrusts.org; skurahn@pewtrusts.org; rdelfin@pewtrusts.org; eroyershetter@pewtrusts.org; sgehshan@pewtrusts.org; kursprung@thedalleschronicle.com; pcs-experts@pewtrusts.org; BMaas-consultant@pewtrusts.org; pgrand@hsph.harvard.edu
Subject: Pew gave you False Fluoridation Information - Fluoride/IQ

To The Dalles Mayor and City Council

I just read a 7/2/2013 letter, on your website, submitted to you by Shelly Gehshan of the Pew Charitable Trust which contains many falsehoods. The most egregious is how she dismissed the Harvard research showing a link between fluoride and lower IQ. Ms. Gehshan writes the following:

"anti-fluoride groups claim that fluoride causes lower IQ scores in children, but many of the studies they cite were from areas in China, Mongolia and Iran in which the natural fluoride levels were at least four or five times higher than the level used to fluoridate water in The Dalles. One study including fluoride levels that reached as high as 11.5 milligrams per liter – a concentration that is roughly 10 times higher than the level that is used to fluoridate American communities. In addition, the Harvard researchers who examined these IQ studies found that each of the studies "had deficiencies, in some cases rather serious, which limit the conclusions that can be drawn." 17 Furthermore, the Harvard researchers publicly distanced themselves from the way that anti-fluoride groups were misrepresenting these IQ studies.18 Anti-fluoride groups also ignore historical evidence that undermines their claim – between the 1940s and the 1990s, the average IQ scores of Americans improved 15 points while fluoridation steadily expanded to serve millions of additional people 19."

When Gehshan writes, "the Harvard researchers (Grandjean et. al) publicly distanced themselves from the way that anti-fluoride groups were misrepresenting these IQ studies," she uses an error-laden Wichita KS newspaper article as a reference which some believe was ghost-written by Pew's fluoridation Public Relations guru. However, Harvard scientist, Philippe Grandjean, MD, states the newspaper never "checked their information with the authors, even though statements were attributed to them."

Dr. Philippe Grandjean, the senior scientist on the Harvard team, criticized the Wichita paper for deceptively attributing its own conclusions on fluoridation to the Harvard scientists. Fluoridation's potential to produce "chemical brain drain," Grandjean writes, is an issue that "definitely deserves concern."

Grandjean also takes objection to the Wichita paper's claim that the Harvard review only looked at studies that used "very high levels of fluoride." The Wichita paper conveyed this impression by focusing on a single, cherry-picked study (Hu 1989) that was never published, nor even included in the Harvard review.

The truth, Grandjean writes, is that "only 4 of 27 studies" in the Harvard review used the high levels that the Wichita paper described, and "clear differences" in IQ "were found at much lower exposures."

Grandjean identifies fluoride is one of 213 known brain-toxic chemicals that may lower the intelligence of generations of children, in his new book, "*Only One Chance: How Environmental Pollution Impairs Brain Development*,"

EPA lists fluoride as having "Substantial Evidence of Developmental Neurotoxicity."

When environmental chemicals affect developing brains, children risk cognitive deficits, learning disabilities, mental retardation, ADHD, autism, cerebral palsy, and other disorders that will remain for a lifetime, says Grandjean.

"Fluorides are known to cause brain toxicity and neurological symptoms in humans," Dr. Grandjean says. He laments that vested interests often manipulate brain-drain research and manufacture uncertainties to wrongly discredit scientists' conclusions and credibility.

Vested interests caused decades to pass before children were protected from the brain-damaging effects of lead exposure reported in the literature. We unnecessarily lost a generation to lead-induced brain damage, reports Grandjean.

When Grandjean's research team published a careful review of studies (meta-analysis) linking fluoride to children's lower IQ, worried fluoridation promoters and regulators immediately and incorrectly claimed that only excessive exposures are toxic, the effect is insignificant, decades of fluoridation would have revealed brain deficits (although nobody looked, yet), and that it was probably lead and arsenic that lowered IQ, not fluoride. Example here

"When such a misleading fuselage is aimed at the authors of a careful meta-analysis of 27 different studies, what would it take to convince critics like that," asks Grandjean.

Thirty-seven human studies now link fluoride to children's lowered IQ, some at levels considered safe in the US. See: <http://www.fluoridealert.org/articles/iq-facts/> and that no research on fluoride's human brain effects have ever been conducted in the US

We don't understand why Pew continues to distort the truth.

Respectfully Submitted

Carol Kopf

Izetta F. Grossman

From: NYSCOF@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, July 07, 2013 4:45 AM
To: Izetta F. Grossman; Nolan Young
Cc: kursprung@thedalleschronicle.com; dchron@thedalleschronicle.com; mroth@thedalleschronicle.com; Izetta F. Grossman; Julie Krueger
Subject: Fluoride "Brain-Drain" Damaging Generations of Children?

Is Fluoride "Brain-Drain" Damaging Generations of Children?

New York – June 25 – Fluoride is one of 213 known brain-toxic chemicals that may lower the intelligence of generations of children, reports renowned physician and 30-year brain researcher, Dr. Phillippe Grandjean in his new book, "Only One Chance: How Environmental Pollution Impairs Brain Development," reports the New York State Coalition Opposed to Fluoridation, Inc. (NYSCOF)

EPA lists fluoride as having "Substantial Evidence of Developmental Neurotoxicity."

Fluoride, never safety-tested in humans for brain or many other health effects, is an unapproved drug says the FDA. Yet, physicians and dentists routinely prescribe fluoride supplements and endorse fluoridated water as a cavity-preventive.

When environmental chemicals affect developing brains, children risk cognitive deficits, learning disabilities, mental retardation, ADHD, autism, cerebral palsy, and other disorders that will remain for a lifetime, says Grandjean.

"Fluorides are known to cause brain toxicity and neurological symptoms in humans," Dr. Grandjean says. He laments that vested interests often manipulate brain-drain research and manufacture uncertainties to wrongly discredit scientists' conclusions and credibility.

Vested interests caused decades to pass before children were protected from the brain-damaging effects of lead exposure reported in the literature. We unnecessarily lost a generation to lead-induced brain damage, reports Grandjean.

When Grandjean's research team published a careful review of studies (meta-analysis) linking fluoride to children's lower IQ, worried fluoridation promoters and regulators immediately and incorrectly claimed that only excessive exposures are toxic, the effect is insignificant, decades of fluoridation would have revealed brain deficits (although nobody looked, yet), and that it was probably lead and arsenic that lowered IQ, not fluoride. Example here

"When such a misleading fuselage is aimed at the authors of a careful meta-analysis of 27 different studies, what would it take to convince critics like that," asks Grandjean.

Another respected researcher, whose experiments revealed fluoride clearly is brain damaging, was fired because she "was jeopardizing the financial support of [the] entire institution [Forsyth]," writes Grandjean (See: The Fluoride Deception, Chapter 1)

Grandjean was part of a WHO International Program of Chemical Safety. Most committee members promoted fluoride's beneficial effects but curtailed any mention of fluoride's toxic effects in the draft report.

Grandjean writes, "as I was considered part of the secretariat I had no vote and my views were not allowed in the final document. Realizing that I had been taken hostage, I had to disengage from this report."

Attorney Paul Beeber, NYSCOF President says, "Fluoride has powerful, influential and rich defenders. It's up to the rest of us to protect children by demanding that unnecessary and ineffective brain-draining fluoride chemicals cease being added to public water supplies. Call your elected officials today and tell them you want fluoridation stopped where you live. Our children are victimized guinea pigs in this on-going experiment. It must end." Find your legislators [here](#)

Dr. Grandjean's [Chemical Brain Drain website](#) and [Video](#)

Contact: Paul Beeber, JD, 516-433-8882 nyscof@aol.com
<http://www.fluoridation.webs.com>
<http://www.FluorideAction.Net>

SOURCE: NYS Coalition Opposed to Fluoridation, Inc.